



Objective (6)

The AEX® ESG Index is a free float market capitalisation weighted index that reflects the performance of the 25 companies with the best ESG risk rating from the AEX Index and AMX Index. It excludes companies involved in controversial activities, tobacco, controversial weapons, Oil Sands or assessed to be Non-Compliant with the UN Global Compact principles. The Environmental, Social and Governance risk rating is assessed by Sustainalytics. The index serves as an underlying for structured products, funds, exchange traded funds, options and futures. The index rules are available on our website. Euronext acts as Supervisor. All our rulebooks can be found at:


https://live.euronext.com/en/products-indices/index-rules.

This ESG Report provides information on ESG factors aggregated at the index level within the framework of the Benchmark Regulation (BMR), the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the European Union Taxonomy Regulation. The metrics on ESG factors in this ESG Report are provided by ISS ESG, updated quarterly, and are described at:


https://live.euronext.com/en/products/indices/rules-esg-report-disclosures-definitions.

ESG Performance






ESG score distribution



Coverage ISS ESG rating Index/Benchmark: 99.52% / 100.00%

2.34 2.20 Index Benchmark

Environmental





ISS ESG Corporate Rating provides highly relevant, material and forward-looking environmental, social and governance (ESG) data and performance assessments. The overall analysis is graded on a twelve-point scale from 4(A+) where the company shows excellent performance to 1(D-) where the company shows poor performance or fails to demonstrate any commitment to appropriately address the topic. The rating grasps ESG risks, opportunities and impact along the entire corporate value chain, including a dedicated SDG-based component measuring positive and negative impact of products and services as well as integrating the existence and severity of controversies and violations of global norms in the topic-specific and overall assessment. Drawing on a pool of more than 700 indicators developed in house with clearly defined performance expectations and scoring models, ISS ESG sector specialists along with a dedicated in-house team of methodology experts select a set of about 100 criteria for each industry. Performance data on more than 30 ESG topics available across all industries and based on in-depth analysis of various factors:

ENVIRONMENT

- Climate Change Strategy
- Eco-Efficiency
- Energy Management
- Environmental Impact of Product Portfolio
- Environmental Management
- Water Risk and Impact
- And more

SOCIAL

- Equal Opportunities
- Freedom of Association
- Health and Safety
- Human Rights
- Product Responsibility
- Social Impact of Product Portfolio
- Supply Chain Management
- Taxes
- And more

GOVERNANCE

- Business Ethics
- Compliance
- Independence of the Board
- Voting Rights
- Shareholder Participation
- Remuneration
- · And more





AEX® ESG Index Index **AEX® Index**

Environmental Indicators

ISS ESG Environmental rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-), being poor, to 4 (A+), being high, linked to the rated entity's Environmental rating. The Environmental rating is based on an assessment of performance across key environmental metrics including energy management, water risk and impact, waste management, ecoefficiency, sector specific environmental aspects along the value chain, and other issues.

Main factors taken into account :


- Climate Change Strategy
- Eco-Efficiency

- Energy Management
- Environmental Impact of Product Portfolio
- Environmental Management
- Water Risk and Impact

Environmental score




Coverage Index/Benchmark: 99.52% / 100.00%






- Carbon footprint: aggregated emissions (tCO2e) from Scopes 1, 2, and 3 per million EUR of Enterprise Value
- GHG intensity: aggregated emissions (tCO2e) from Scopes 1, 2, and 3 per million EUR of revenue

Carbon footprint



Index Top Sectors Contributions to Carbon Footprint (%)



Carbon footprint GHG intensity tCO2e/Revenue € tCO2e/Enterprise Value

	Coverage (%) Scope 1,2,3	Estimated (%)	Estimated Trust Score	Scope 1	Scope 2	Scope 3	Total Scope 1,2,3	Coverage (%) Scope 1,2,3	Total Scope 1,2	Total Scope 1,2,3
Index	100.0%	12.2%	0.87	0.9	0.5	97.5	98.9	100.0%	4.0	326.8
Benchmark	100.0%	5.3%	0.87	102.7	9.2	905.3	1,017.1	100.0%	71.2	1,112.3
Net Performance	-	-6.89 p	0.00	99.09%	94.66%	89.23%	90.27%	-	94.43%	70.62%

Based on ISS ESG data, the carbon footprint scope 1,2,3 of the index is 90.27% lower than the benchmark and the GHG intensity scope 1,2,3 of the index is 70.62% lower than the benchmark. The percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated on GHG intensity scope 1 + 2 is 87.83% of the total weight of the index, 94.72% for the benchmark.






AEX® ESG Index Index **AEX® Index**

High Climate Impact Sectors Exposure (NACE)


Energy Consumption per High Climate Impact Sectors



NACE Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%

Energy Consumption Coverage Index/Benchmark: 61.73% / 84.73%

Fossil Fuel-Based Sectors Exposure (NACE)



NACE Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%						
		Inde	x	Benchmark		
		Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage	
Exposure to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector		0.1%	92.5%	0.0%	90.5%	
Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector		0.0%	100.0%	19.6%	100.0%	
Exposure to climate-related physical risks		63.3	100.0%	59.0	100.0%	
Share of energy sources used – Coal, nuclear and unclear energy sources	P	35.8%	52.7%	37.0%	37.7%	
Share of energy sources used – Natural gas, waste-to-energy and biomass		8.9%	14.4%	13.8%	7.3%	
Share of non-renewable energy consumption	P	0.3%	61.2%	0.5%	61.4%	
Share of non-renewable energy production	>	0.00%	100.0%	0.00%	83.4%	
Energy consumption intensity		0.1	61.7%	0.3	84.7%	
Emissions to water		-	0.0%	0.07	7.2%	
Hazardous waste ratio		0.0	40.2%	1.2	48.3%	
Emissions of air pollutants		-	0.0%	-	0.0%	
Water usage and recycling	P	28	40.7%	273	57.2%	
Exposure to companies without water management policies		11.2%	51.4%	4.1%	70.9%	
Non-recycled waste ratio	>	0.2	51.9%	11.8	66.3%	
Natural species and protected areas		0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas		0.00%	100.0%	0.00%	100.0%	

The index outperform the benchmark

The benchmark outperform the index



■ 30.3%



AEX® ESG Index Index **AEX® Index**

Social Indicators

ISS ESG Social rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-), being poor, to 4 (A+), being high, linked to the rated entity's Social rating. The Social rating is based on an assessment of performance across key social sustainability metrics, including staff and suppliers, health and safety, human rights, sector specific product responsibility, and other issues.

Main factors taken into account :

- Equal Opportunities
- Freedom of Association




• Human Rights

- Product Responsibility
- Social Impact of Product

- Supply Chain Management
- Taxes

Social score distribution



Companies are in Social Violations 0 in benchmark




Companies are in violation with UNGC or **OECD** guidelines



Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.0% (100.0%) / 15.2% (100.0%)



Companies lack of human rights policy 10 in benchmark





Companies lack of a supplier code of conduct

4 in benchmark

Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 20.3% (100.0%) / 14.4% (100.0%)



Companies have insufficient whistleblower protection

0 in benchmark

Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.0% (100.0%) / 0.0% (100.0%)




1 in benchmark


Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 12.2% (99.5%) / 5.2% (100.0%)



	Index		C e	Benchma	rk
		Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage
Controversial weapons sector exposure, international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles or, where applicable, national law (BMR)		0.00%	100.0%	1.47%	100.0%
Tobacco involvement exposure		0.11%	100.0%	0.35%	100.0%
Gender pay gap		9.78%	15.7%	8.42%	8.7%
Ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities		0.18	49.8%	0.31	56.2%
Exposure to companies without workplace accident prevention policies		16.05%	100.0%	7.57%	100.0%
Exposure to companies with a lack of human rights due diligence procedures		34.95%	100.0%	14.73%	100.0%
Ratio of female to male board members		0.8	100.0%	0.8	100.0%

NBR Overall Flag: This factor assigns an overall Red (failure), Amber (credible allegations), or Green (to be established) flag to an issuer based on the issuer's link with any breaches of international standards.







AEX® ESG Index AEX® Index

Governance Indicators

ISS ESG Governance rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-) to 4 (A+) linked to the rated entity's Governance rating. The Governance rating is based on an assessment of performance across key governance metrics, including business ethics, corporate governance, and other issues. Main factors taken into account :


· Business Ethics

• Independence of the Board

• Shareholder Participation • Remuneration

• Compliance

Voting Rights



Governance score

2.92

Coverage Index/Benchmark: 99.52% / 100.00%

Board members are independent 88% in benchmark Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%



Company lacks of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies 1 in benchmark Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%



440/0 Female board members 43% in benchmark
Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%




Companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives



Operate without due diligence policies (ILO 1-8) 7% in benchmark Coverage Index/Benchmark: 99.52% / 100.00%



11 in benchmark



Total Monetary Losses from Corruption	Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage	
This factor identifies if a company discloses the monetary value of losses incurred through legal proceedings related to corruption in USD.	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	

5





Index AEX® ESG Index
Benchmark AEX® Index

Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts



			Index		Benchmark			
	Mandatory Indicators		Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]
	GHG emissions Scope 1		0.9	1.2	100.0%	102.7	121.9	100.0%
1	GHG emissions Scope 2	 	0.5	0.9	100.0%	9.2	10.8	100.0%
1	GHG emissions Scope 3		97.5	575.1	100.0%	905.3	976.8	100.0%
	Total GHG emissions	 	98.9	577.2	100.0%	1017.1	1109.5	100.0%
2	Carbon footprint	 	98.9	577.2	100.0%	1017.1	1109.5	100.0%
3	Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity	 	326.8	799.0	100.0%	1112.3	1544.0	100.0%
4	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	 	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	19.6%	19.6%	100.0%
	Share of energy sources used – Coal, nuclear and unclear energy sources	 	35.8%	40.7%	52.7%	37.0%	34.5%	37.7%
5	Share of energy sources used – Natural gas, waste-to-energy and biomass	 	8.9%	16.3%	14.4%	13.8%	18.5%	7.3%
3	Share of non-renewable energy consumption	 	0.3%	46.5%	61.2%	0.5%	42.6%	61.4%
	Share of non-renewable energy production		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.4%
6	Energy consumption intensity	 	0.05	0.03	61.7%	0.25	0.06	84.7%
7	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
8	Emissions to water		-	-	0.0%	0.07	-	7.2%
9	Hazardous waste ratio	 	0.01	0.31	40.2%	1.23	0.84	48.3%
10	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15.2%	15.2%	100.0%
11	Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		12.2%	12.8%	99.5%	5.2%	5.2%	100.0%
12	Gender pay gap		9.8%	8.9%	15.7%	8.4%	7.6%	8.7%
13	Female board members		43.8%	43.3%	100.0%	43.3%	43.1%	100.0%
14	Controversial weapons sector exposure, anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons (SFDR)		0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

 $Impact \ [year \ n-1] \ provide \ factors \ based \ on \ the \ current \ composition \ mapped \ with \ year \ n-1 \ ISS \ ESG \ data.$





AEX® ESG Index AEX® Index

Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts



	Index			Benchmark			
Additional Indicators	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]	
Emissions of air pollutants	-	0.00	0.0%	-	0.00	0.0%	
Investing in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	43.0%	51.5%	100.0%	37.6%	40.0%	100.0%	
Water usage and recycling	▶ 28	56	40.7%	273	712	57.2%	
Investments in companies without water management policies	11.2%	21.5%	51.4%	4.1%	8.3%	70.9%	
Non-recycled waste ratio	▶ 0.21	0.24	51.9%	11.78	18.84	66.3%	
Natural species and protected areas	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Investments in companies without workplace accident prevention policies	16.1%	47.0%	100.0%	7.6%	29.7%	100.0%	
Rate of accidents	▶ 17.8%	10.6%	49.8%	31.0%	18.3%	56.2%	
Lack of a supplier code of conduct	20.3%	28.7%	100.0%	14.4%	12.0%	100.0%	
Insufficient whistleblower protection	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Excessive CEO pay ratio	-	-	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	
Lack of a human rights policy	64.8%	21.0%	100.0%	44.2%	8.7%	100.0%	
Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8	▶ 17.3%	17.3%	99.5%	7.5%	7.5%	100.0%	
Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti- corruption and antibribery	P 1	-	100.0%	1	0	100.0%	

Impact [year n-1] provide factors based on the current composition mapped with year n-1 ISS ESG data.






Index AEX® ESG Index
Benchmark AEX® Index

EU Taxonomy Alignment

The EU Taxonomy Alignment report evaluates a portfolio's levels of alignment against the six climate and environmental related objectives set out by the regulatory text, by determining investee companies' involvement in Taxonomy eligible economic activities, quantifying the respective revenues from these activities, and subsequently applying the three technical assessment steps of Substantial Contribution, Do No Significant Harm, and Minimum Social Safequards.

All Objectives EU Taxonomy Alignment






Top constituents of the index by Overall Taxonomy Alignment

•	, , ,				
Company	Total Eligible Activity Revenue	Total Aligned Revenue	Total Likely Aligned Revenue	Total Potentially Aligned Revenue	Index Weight
CTP	100.00%	46.40%	Not Collected	Not Collected	0.5%
ARCADIS	14.00%	13.00%	Not Collected	Not Collected	1.1%
SIGNIFY NV	83.10%	11.00%	Not Collected	Not Collected	1.0%
INPOST	3.40%	3.40%	Not Collected	Not Collected	0.6%
EXOR NV	37.63%	0.41%	Not Collected	Not Collected	2.8%
WDP	100.00%	Not Collected	0.00%	0.00%	1.2%
EUROCOMMERCIAL	100.00%	Not Collected	0.00%	0.00%	0.2%
FUGRO	30.00%	Not Collected	Not Collected	Not Collected	0.5%
PROSUS	0.70%	0.00%	Not Collected	Not Collected	11.0%
SBM OFFSHORE	0.50%	Not Collected	Not Collected	Not Collected	0.5%

Top Eligible Activities of the Index (%)






indices.euronext.com 8





Eligibility Breakdown - Nuclear & Gas






Exposure to Companies Subject to NFRD

	EU NFRD	Non EU Non NFRD	NFRD	Non NFRD	Eligible NACE Sector (%)
Financial	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Non-Financial	51.6%	0.0%	51.6%	1.2%	52.8%
Overall	51.6%	0.0%	51.6%	1.2%	52.8%

EU Taxonomy Alignment - CapEx

Eligible Capital Expenditure Overview

This factor identifies all Taxonomy Eligible Capital Expenditure relating to the issuer in question, and the percentage figure of company capital expenditure associated with each Eligible activity. It then shows a granular breakdown for all of the results produced by the model at each technical step of the assessment proces (substantial contribution, do no significant harm and minimum social safeguards check). Finally, an overall alignment result is provided, aggregating the results from each individual step of the process.



	Eligible Revenue	Not Eligible Revenue	Aligned Revenue	Aligned Revenue (Y-1)	Likely Aligned Revenue	Potentially Aligned Revenue	Likely Not Aligned Revenue	Not Aligned Revenue	Alignment Not Collected
Index	4.21%	76.78%	0.89%	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.04%	0.29%
Benchmark	8.45%	76.89%	0.34%	_	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.71%	0.40%

Eligible CapEx Covered Not Eligible Not Covered Aligned Likely Aligned Potentially Aligned Likely Not Aligned Not Aligned Not Collected








EU Taxonomy Alignment by pillars

The EU Taxonomy Alignment report evaluates a portfolio's levels of alignment against the six climate and environmental related objectives set out by the regulation, by determining investee companies' involvement in Taxonomy eligible economic activities, quantifying the respective revenues from these activities, and subsequently applying the three technical assessment steps of Substantial Contribution, Do No Significant Harm, and Minimum Social Safeguards.




Climate Change Mitigation


Climate Change Mitigation is addressing all the actions and strategies aimed at reducing or preventing the emission of greenhouse gases and minimizing the impact of human activities on the Earth's climate system.



Climate Change Adaptation


Climate Change Adaptation involves all the efforts to adjust and prepare for the adverse effects of climate change, enhancing resilience and reducing vulnerability to climate-related risks.






Water and marine resources

The Sustainable Use and Protection of Water and Marine Resources encompasses practices and policies that promote responsible utilization of water resources, ensuring their long-term availability, and protecting marine ecosystems from degradation.















Circular economy


The transition to a Circular Economy refers to the shift from a linear economic model (take, make, dispose) to a circular one, where resources are used efficiently, products are designed for longevity, and waste is minimized through recycling and reuse.






Pollution prevention and control


Involves measures to eliminate, reduce, or manage the release of harmful substances into the environment, aiming to prevent pollution and protect air, water, and soil quality.






Biodiversity and ecosystems

The Protection and Restoration of Biodiversity and Ecosystems encompasses initiatives to safeguard and revitalize diverse forms of life and their habitats, recognizing the intrinsic value of biodiversity and the critical role ecosystems play in sustaining life on Earth.





This publication is for information purposes only and is not a recommendation to engage in investment activities. This publication is provided "as is" without representation or warranty of any kind. Whilst all reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the content, Euronext does not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Euronext will not be held liable for any loss or damages of any nature ensuing from using, trusting or acting on information provided. All proprietary rights and interest in or connected with this publication shall vest in Euronext. No part of it may be redistributed or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of Euronext. Euronext refers to Euronext N.V. and its affiliates. Information regarding trademarks and intellectual property rights of Euronext is located at https://www.euronext.com/terms-use.

